Office of Research Adm	ninistration
Principal Investigator:	Compass Award ID:
Checkli	st to Determine Subrecipient or Contractor Classification
narrative, budget jus	the determination of the relationship with an entity is verified through the institutional review of the proposal tification, and other related proposal documents, as well as through discussions with key personnel prior to proposal to document this determination, this form must be provided with each subaward request.
DEFINITIONS FROM UN	IIFORM GUIDANCE (2 CFR, PART 200):
	eans a non-Federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity (Emory University) to carry out part of a Federal include an individual that is a beneficiary of such program. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other Federal awards directly Ig
	ans an entity that receives a contract as defined in §200.22 Contract. s a legal instrument by which a non-Federal entity purchases property or services needed to carry out the project or program under a
with the greatest nu University. On occas substance of the relat	ete sections one and two of the checklist by marking all characteristics that apply to the outside entity. <b>The section umber of marked characteristics indicates the likely type of relationship the entity will have with Emory</b> sion there may be exceptions to the type of relationship indicated by the completed checklist. In these situations, the tionship should be given greater consideration than the form of agreement between the University and the outside uld be used to provide documentation on the use of judgment in determining the proper relationship classification.
NAME OF OUTSIDE	ENTITY:
Characteristics which st	CIPIENT  and is for the purpose of carrying out a portion of a Federal award and creates a Federal assistance relationship with the subrecipient.  support the classification of the non-Federal entity as a subrecipient include when the non-Federal entity:  ines who is eligible to receive what Federal assistance;  performance measured in relation to whether objectives of a Federal program were met (participates in the implementation or
	t of the program; carries out an intellectually significant portion of the award);
4. In accor	ponsibility for programmatic decision making; rdance with its agreement, uses the Federal funds to carry out a program for a public purpose specified in zing statute, as opposed to providing goods or services for the benefit of the pass-through entity.
	that include these characteristics are responsible for adherence to applicable Federal program requirements d in the Federal award; such requirements will be flowed down to the subrecipient via the subaward ant.
=	ACTOR  It is for the purpose of obtaining goods and services for the non-Federal entity's own use and creates a procurement relationship with the tics indicative of a procurement relationship between the non-Federal entity and a contractor are when the non-Federal entity receiving
	es the goods and services within normal business operations;
	es similar goods or services to many different purchasers;
	ly operates in a competitive environment;
	es goods or services that are ancillary to the operation of the Federal program.
	that include these characteristics are not subject to compliance requirements of the Federal program as a f the agreement, though similar requirements may apply for other reasons
FINAL DETERMINAT	TION: SUBRECIPIENT CONTRACTOR
<b>Description</b> : In determ latter as a subrecipient	N 3 - USE OF JUDGMENT (use only when the determination cannot clearly be made using the above criteria) aining whether an agreement between a pass-through entity and another non-Federal entity casts the or a contractor, the substance of the relationship is more important than the form of the agreement. All of d above may not be present in all cases, and the pass-through entity must use judgment in classifying each agreement contract.

Explanation of Use of Judgment Determination:					

repared Bv:	Date:	